09/401,439 **Application Number TRANSMITTAL** September 22, 1999 Filing Date Usama M. Fayyad First Named Inventor **FORM** Group Art Unit 3623 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) 4688 **Confirmation Number** C.M. Tarae **Examiner Name** Sent via Express Mail Label No.: Attorney Docket Number 115377.01 ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) ☐ Fee Transmittal Form Assignment Papers After Allowance Communication to TC ☐ Fee Attached (for an Application) ☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Amendment / Reply (pages) ☐ Drawing(s) Appeals and Interferences After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) ■ Declaration Appeal Communication to TC ☐ Newly Executed (pages) ☐ A copy from a prior application □ Extension of Time Request Proprietary Information (37 CFR 1.63(d)) (pages) ■ Express Abandonment Request Status Letter ☐ Licensing-related Papers Information Disclosure Statement with Application Data Sheet Form PTO/SB/08A (pages) ☐ Petition Response to Notice to File Missing Parts Request for Corrected Filing Receipt ☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional A copy of the Notice to File Missing Application Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5 Return Receipt Postcard General Power of Attorney (SB80) CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION Other Enclosure(s) (please identify ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) below): ☑ Issue Fee Transmittal ☐ Terminal Disclaimer I hereby certify that this correspondence is being ☐ Comments on Statement of electronically deposited with the USPTO via Reasons for Allowance □ Request for Refund EFS-Web on the date shown below: CD, Number of CD(s) August 4, 2008 /Noemi Tovar/ Signature Date The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional Remarks Noemi Tovar fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-Printed Name 0463 for the above identified patent application. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT Signature /Nathan M. Rau/ Reg. No. 45,466 Name of Attorney or Agent Nathan M. Rau (425) 706-6882 Date August 4, 2008 Tel. Facsimile No. (425) 708-5046 **MICROSOFT CORPORATION**

ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052

22971

Assignee Name:

Customer Number:

First Named Inventor: USAMA M FAYYAD Attorney Docket No.: 115377.01

Application No.: 09/401,439 Group Art Unit: 3623 Filed: September 22, Confirmation Number: 4688

1999

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: CATHERINE

MICHELLE TARAE

Title: DATA MINING FOR MANAGING MARKETING RESOURCES

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir:

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the

same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are

included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for

allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of

additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to

file.

Respectfully submitted,

Microsoft Corporation

Date: August 4. 2008

By: / Nathan M. Rau/

Nathan Rau, Reg. No.: 45,466

Direct telephone 425-706-6882

Microsoft Corporation

One Microsoft Way

Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION
(Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

August 4, 2008

/Noemi Tovar/

Date

Noemi Tovar